

CORPORATE COUNSEL

An **ALM** Publication

December 2011

PATENT LITIGATION SURVEY

The Busiest Firms Overall

Rank 2011	Rank 2010	Firm Name	Total District Court Cases
1	1	Fish & Richardson	128
2	7	Finnegan, Henderson	69
3	3	Jones Day	66
4	7	McDermott Will	60
5	13	Winston & Strawn	56
6	2	Kirkland & Ellis	55
7	18	Perkins Coie	54
8	17	Greenberg Traurig	50
9	15	Baker Botts	49
9	11	Cooley	49
9	32	DLA Piper	49
12	13	Foley & Lardner	47
13	9	Alston & Bird	45
14	23	K&L Gates	43
14	29	Sidley Austin	43
16	9	Morrison & Foerster	42
17	5	Quinn Emanuel	41
18	31	Fitzpatrick, Cella	39
18	20	Knobbe Martens	39
18	6	Orrick	39
21	20	Kilpatrick Stockton*	38
22	-	Fenwick & West	37
23	-	Townsend and Townsend*	35
24	37	Fulbright & Jaworski	33
25	32	Kenyon & Kenyon	31
26	28	Wilson Sonsini	29
27	29	Covington & Burling	28
27	26	Goodwin Procter	28
29	35	Banner & Witcoff	27
29	16	Wilmer	27
31	23	Connolly Bove	26
31	12	Niro, Haller	26
33	-	Dickstein Shapiro	25
33	-	Reed Smith	25
35	-	Brinks Hofer	23
36	26	Robins, Kaplan	22
37	-	Barnes & Thornburg	20
37	18	McCarter & English	20

For the Defense

Rank 2011	Rank 2010	Firm Name	Defense Cases
1	1	Fish & Richardson	101
2	3	Jones Day	52
3	14	Finnegan, Henderson	50
4	10	Winston & Strawn	49
5	14	Perkins Coie	47
6	13	Baker Botts	46
7	4	McDermott Will	43
8	2	Kirkland & Ellis	42
9	-	DLA Piper	41
10	11	Alston & Bird	37
10	7	Cooley	37
10	14	Greenberg Traurig	37
13	7	Morrison & Foerster	36
14	17	Kilpatrick Stockton	35
14	9	Quinn Emanuel	35
16	11	Foley & Lardner	31
16	4	Orrick	31
18	19	K&L Gates	30
19	21	Sidley Austin	29
20	-	Fenwick & West	28
20	-	Fulbright & Jaworski	28
22	-	Knobbe Martens	27
22	21	Wilson Sonsini	27
24	-	Townsend and Townsend	26
25	-	Niro, Haller	24
26	-	Kenyon & Kenyon	23
27	-	Brinks Hofer	20
27	-	Reed Smith	20

METHODOLOGY

Earlier this year, *Corporate Counsel* contacted more than 300 law firms and asked them to provide us with a list of patent lawsuits they either filed on behalf of plaintiffs in federal district court in 2010 or were hired to defend against. To be considered for this survey, the cases in question had to be active as of February 1, 2011. We did not count cases before the International Trade Commission or appellate courts. Some firms listed multiple district court cases with the same plaintiff. If those cases involved highly similar technology or consolidated discovery, we counted them all as one. We did not rank firms who served as local counsel in the bulk of cases submitted. As such, two firms this year were excluded from our rankings: Texas-based Gillam Smith would have ranked eighth overall with 53 cases. Delaware-based Richards, Layton & Finger would have ranked ninth overall with 49 cases.

*Kilpatrick Stockton joined with Townsend and Townsend and Crew to form Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton in January 2011.

Reprinted with permission from the December 2011 edition of *CORPORATE COUNSEL* © 2010 ALM Media Properties, LLC. All rights reserved. Further duplication without permission is prohibited. For information, contact 877-257-3382 or reprints@alm.com. # 016-11-11-02

WINSTON
& STRAWN
LLP