

BLOG



JANUARY 27, 2025

A full article on this topic, co-authored by partner <u>Cari Stinebower</u> and of counsel <u>Dainia Jabaji</u>, was first published by Financier Worldwide Ltd. All rights reserved. Permission to use this reprint has been granted by the publisher.

<u>The full article can be found here</u>. Any opinions in this article are not those of Winston & Strawn or its clients. The opinions in this article are the authors' opinions only.

ARTICLE SUMMARY

The 1984 Supreme Court decision in *Chevron U.S.A. Inc. v. Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc.* established the 'Chevron deference' doctrine, which required courts to defer to administrative agency interpretations of ambiguous statutes if they were reasonable. This doctrine significantly impacted the financial services sector, making it difficult to challenge the US Department of the Treasury's actions, such as sanctions and penalties. Further, the USA PATRIOT Act of 2001, passed after the 9/11 attacks, amended the Bank Secrecy Act (BSA) to enforce robust antimoney laundering (AML) and countering the financing of terrorism (CFT) measures, deputizing financial institutions (Fls) as the front line of compliance. This has imposed significant costs on Fls, both in terms of compliance infrastructure and hefty penalties for violations.

The Supreme Court's June 2024 decision in *Loper Bright Enterprises v. Raimondo* overturned Chevron, mandating that courts exercise independent judgment in statutory interpretation. This shift opens the door to broader scrutiny of the Treasury's authority and its rules. The end of Chevron deference is seen as a crucial step towards addressing these issues, ensuring that government agencies do not exploit financial institutions or infringe on individual rights. That decision was tested and supported in the Tornado Cash case when the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeal concluded that OFAC overstepped its authority in sanctioning Tornado Cash and "blocking" smart contracts under the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (IEEPA).

Continued litigation against the Treasury is expected to bring about much-needed changes and level the playing field for FIs and individuals alike.

Read the full article here.

Authors

Cari Stinebower

Dainia Jabaji

Related Topics

Chevron

Department of the Treasury

Financial Institutions

Anti-Money Laundering (AML)

Countering the Financing of Terrorism (CFT)

Sanctions

Related Capabilities

Financial Services Transactions & Regulatory

Government Investigations, Enforcement & Compliance

International Trade

Financial Crimes Compliance

Financial Services

Related Professionals



Cari Stinebower



Dainia Jabaji

This entry has been created for information and planning purposes. It is not intended to be, nor should it be substituted for, legal advice, which turns on specific facts.