

#### **CLIENT ALERT**



### **FEBRUARY 13, 2025**

On January 28, 2025, President Trump issued Executive Order 14187 titled "Protecting Children from Chemical and Surgical Mutilation" (the EO) which ordered the federal government to aim to limit gender-affirming care offered to children and teenagers under the age of nineteen. The EO warns that the federal government "will not fund, sponsor, promote, assist, or support the so-called 'transition' of a child from one sex to another, and it will rigorously enforce all laws that prohibit or limit these destructive and life-altering procedures."

#### THE EXECUTIVE ORDER

The EO provides a definition of "chemical and surgical mutilation" that is intended to encompass all forms of what is commonly referred to as gender-affirming care, including the prescription of puberty blockers and surgical procedures that attempt to alter an individual's physical appearance as specified in the EO. The EO orders that federal agencies rescind or amend policies that rely on the World Professional Association for Transgender Health (WPATH) guidance, an international guideline for healthcare professionals, and directs all federal departments and agencies to "immediately take appropriate steps to ensure that institutions receiving" federal research or education grants no longer provide gender-affirming care to children. Health insurance for federal employees and TRICARE (the acronym for "Treatment, Retired, Invalid, Care, Active, Reserve, and Dependents"), the Department of Defense's insurance plan for military dependents, are ordered to exclude from coverage services for gender-affirming care for individuals within the scope of the EO.

The EO contains specific directives for the United States Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) and the United States Department of Justice (DOJ). According to the EO, HHS must take "all appropriate actions" to end gender-affirming care of children, including through regulations on Medicare or Medicaid conditions of participation or conditions for coverage. The HHS is also ordered to rescind its March 2, 2022, memorandum titled "HHS Notice and Guidance on Gender Affirming Care, Civil Rights, and Patient Privacy," which signaled that restrictions on gender-affirming care may violate section 1557 of the Affordable Care Act. The EO gives HHS ninety (90) days to perform and report on its review of the existing literature on "best practices" for promoting the health of children who assert gender dysphoria and offers protections to whistleblowers who report on institutions that have allegedly provided gender-affirming care in violation of the EO.

Meanwhile, the DOJ is directed to review its policies and statutory authority to enforce statutes prohibiting "female genital mutilation" and convene with State Attorneys General to further that enforcement. The DOJ was also ordered to work with Congress to enact a private right of action for children or parents of children who had received gender-affirming care. Notably, the EO instructs the DOJ to "prioritize investigations and take appropriate action to end child-abusive practices by so-called sanctuary States," including the invocation of the Parental Kidnapping Prevention Act and any constitutional rights.

#### COMPLIANCE IMPLICATIONS AND LEGAL CHALLENGES TO THE EXECUTIVE ORDER

Because some aspects of the EO are not consistent with the laws of certain states, full compliance with the EO's restrictions on the provision of gender-affirming care creates a compliance risk for health providers and insurers. For example, on February 3, 2025, New York's Attorney General, Letitia James, advised health systems operating in the State of New York that the Attorney General's Office expected healthcare providers to be fully compliant with New York's anti-discrimination laws relevant to access to gender-affirming care. On February 5, 2025, fourteen state attorneys general addressed the EO with a similar public statement expressing their willingness to "enforce state laws that provide access to gender-affirming care."

On February 4, 2025, litigation challenging the EO was initiated by several groups, including the American Civil Liberties Union; Lambda Legal, an LGBTQ+ civil rights organization; PFLAG National, an LGBTQ+ advocacy group; and GLMA, an association of health professionals focused on LGBTQ+ equality, in the U.S. District Court for the District of Maryland. The plaintiffs seek a temporary restraining order to block the effect of the EO. On February 7, 2025, state attorneys general of Washington, Minnesota, and Oregon, and three physicians sued the federal government to block the EO, arguing that it violated the Equal Protection clause of the Fifth Amendment by singling out treatment of a certain group of people without legitimate government interest.

#### CONCLUSION

The Trump administration's EO sets forth new restrictions, backed by the risk of federal government enforcement action, on healthcare providers' ability to give patients access to gender-affirming care. Providers must, however, balance the dictates of the federal EO against pre-existing state law anti-discrimination compliance obligations that are not clearly mitigated by the EO. Participants in the healthcare industry should continue to carefully monitor these developments in order to manage statutory and regulatory compliance obligations.

3 Min Read

### Authors

Nag Young Chu

T. Reed Stephens

### **Related Topics**

Trump 2nd Term Executive Order

# **Related Capabilities**

Health Care

Life Sciences

## Related Professionals



Nag Young Chu



T. Reed Stephens