

BLOG



OCTOBER 16, 2017

In August of 2017 we mentioned that <u>wellness plans are currently under scrutiny</u>. In *AARP v. United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission*, U.S. District Judge John Bates had agreed with AARP that the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) did not adequately explain its reasoning for determining the 30% incentive level as a means of meeting the "voluntary" requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and the Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act (GINA), and remanded the regulations to the EEOC for reconsideration. He did not vacate the current rule in order to avoid potential "disruption and confusion" for existing wellness plans designed in accordance with the regulations. Recently, the EEOC told the judge that its "present intention" is to propose a new rule by August 2018 that incorporates GINA, the ADA along with other federal laws that regulate workplace wellness programs, and to issue a final rule by October 2019. The EEOC said that such amendments would likely not become effective until 2021. On September 28, AARP asked Judge Bates to vacate the current rule on January 1, 2018, while criticizing the EEOC for its 2021 target for such amendments to go into effect. AARP's argument is that vacating the current rule on January 1, 2018 would "prevent further harm to employees."

We will monitor how this unfolds and keep you posted on the results.

1 Min Read

Author

Amy Gordon

Related Locations

Chicago

Related Topics

Health & Welfare

Related Capabilities

Employee Benefits & Executive Compensation

Health Care

Related Regions

North America

Related Professionals



Amy Gordon

This entry has been created for information and planning purposes. It is not intended to be, nor should it be substituted for, legal advice, which turns on specific facts.